


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/726,761	KAMARAD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Cottingham	3679	

All Participants:

(1) John R. Cottingham.

(2) Edwin Crabtree.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
all

Claims discussed:
21, 28, 35

Prior art documents discussed:
Buechele U.S. Patent 1,000,774

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed upon to make the changes to the claims to overcome the art

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)